Analysis of ${}^{48}Cr(p, n)$ reaction in inverse kinematics

M. Sasano,^{*1} J. Gao,^{*1,*3} L. Stuhl,^{*9,*22} Y. Hirai,^{*4} T. Wakasa,^{*4} D. S. Ahn,^{*1} J. K. Ahn,^{*13} H. Baba,^{*1} K. Chae, *20 A. Chilug, *5,*1 K. Cook, *15 Y. Fujino, *7 N. Fukuda, *1 B. Gao, *19 S. Goto, *4 I. S. Hahn, *8,*22 Y. Hamano, *4 Z. Halász, *9 T. Harada, *10,*1 S. Hong, *20 S. Huang, *1,*3 N. Inabe, *1 D. Inomoto, *4 T. Isobe, *1 H. Kasahara,^{*4} D. Kim,^{*8} T. Kobayashi,^{*14,*1} Y. Kondo,^{*15,*1} Z. Korkulu,^{*1} A. Krasznahorkai,^{*9} H. Miki,^{*15} H. Kasanara, D. Khii, * I. Kobayashi, * F. Kohdo, * Z. Korkulu, A. Krasznanorkai, * H. Miki, K. Miki, *^{14,*1} S. Mitsumoto, *⁴ M. Miwa, *^{18,*1} T. Motobayashi, *¹ T. Nakamura, *^{15,*1} M. Nishimura, *¹ H. Oshiro, *⁴ H. Otsu, *¹ V. Panin, *¹ S. Sakaguchi, *^{4,*1} D. Sakai, *¹⁴ H. Sakai, *¹ S. Sakaki, *⁴ H. Sato, *¹ T. Shimada, *¹⁵ Y. Shimizu, *¹ B. Sun, *²¹ X. Sun, *^{1,*3} H. Suzuki, *¹ J. Tanaka, *¹ Y. Togano, *^{7,*1} T. Tomai, *^{15,*1} T. Uesaka, *¹ Y. Utsuki, *¹⁴ H. Wang, *^{15,*1} X. Xu, *¹⁹ K. Yako, *² A. Yasuda, *¹⁵ K. Yoneda, *¹ K. Yoshida, *¹

Y. Yoshitome, *15 and J. Zenihiro *1

In this paper, we report on the progress in the data analysis of the SAMURAI11 experiment performed at the RI Beam Factory (RIBF) of RIKEN Nishina Center in spring, 2019. This experiment was conducted to measure the (p, n) reaction on N = Z unstable nuclei, namely, ⁴⁸Cr and ⁶⁴Ge. Previously, in Refs. 1) and 2), we reported on the experimental setup and the particle identification (PID) analysis of the reaction residues with the SAMURAI spectrometer,³⁾ respectively. In Ref. 4), we reported on the observation of a kinetic curve in the recoil-neutron data produced in the ${}^{48}\text{Cr}(p,n){}^{48}\text{Mn}$ reaction, under the condition that the reaction residues 47 Cr were selected through PID analysis.

Figure 1 shows a plot of neutron kinetic energy vs. neutron scattering angle in the laboratory frame for those events in which the reaction residues ${}^{46}V$ were selected through PID analysis. The ⁴⁶V residues were populated through the two-proton decay from the ⁴⁸Mn. There was no clear locus along the calculated kinetic curves in ⁴⁸Mn, above the two-proton separation energy at 6.828 MeV. The plot contains events due to the background in a low neutron-kinetic-energy region below 500 keV.

A further analysis is ongoing to substract the back-

- *2 Center for Nuclear Study, University of Tokyo
- *3 School of Physics, Peking University
- *4Department of Physics, Kyushu University
- *5Horia Hulubei National Institute for R&D in Physics and Nuclear Engineering
- *7 Department of Physics, Rikkyo University
- *8 Department of Physics, Ewha Womans University
- *9 Institute for Nuclear Research (ATOMKI)
- $^{\ast 10}$ Department of Physics, Toho University
- $^{\ast 11}$ KVI CART, University of Groningen
- $^{\ast 12}$ GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH
- $^{\ast 13}$ Department of Physics, Korea University *14 Department of Physics, Tohoku University
- *15Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology
- $^{\ast 16}$ Department of Physics, University of Tokyo
- *¹⁸ Department of Physics, Saitama University
- ^{*19} Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences
- $^{\ast 20}$ Department of Physics, Sungkyunkwan University
- $^{\ast 21}$ School of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Beihang University
- *²² Center for Exotic Nuclear Studies, Institute for Basic Science

 $48Cr(p,n)48Mn \rightarrow 46V+2p$ (threshold = 6.828 MeV)



Fig. 1. A two-dimensional plot of neutron kinetic energy vs. neutron scattering angle in the laboratory frame. The reaction residues ⁴⁶V were selected through the PID analysis. The solid and dashed curves represent calculated kinetic curves for excitation energies and scattering angles in the center-of-mass system of the ${}^{48}Cr(p, n)$ reaction, respectively.

ground. We are working on the background evaluation by using the reaction channels where the (p, n) reaction does not occur, following the method utilized in a prior study.⁵)

We are grateful to the RIKEN accelerator staff and CNS, University of Tokyo, for their continuous efforts to accomplish this stable beam acceleration.

References

- 1) M. Sasano et al., RIKEN Accel. Prog. Rep. 53, 40 (2019).
- 2) J. Gao et al., RIKEN Accel. Prog. Rep. 54, 14 (2020).
- 3) T. Kobayashi et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B 317, 294 (2013).
- 4) M. Sasano et al., RIKEN Accel. Prog. Rep. 55, 22 (2022).
- 5) M. Sasano et al., Phys. Rev. C 86, 034324 (2012).

^{*1} RIKEN Nishina Center