New measurement of ${}^{8}\text{Li}(\alpha, n)^{11}\text{B}$ reactions

Y. Mizoi,^{*1,*2,*3} H. Baba,^{*3} A. Bracco,^{*4,*5} F. Camera,^{*4,*5} S. M. Cha,^{*6} K. Y. Chae,^{*6} S. Cherubini,^{*3,*7,*8} H. S. Choi,^{*9} N. N. Duy,^{*6} T. Fukuda,^{*3,*10} S. Hayakawa,^{*2} Y. Hirayama,^{*11} N. Imai,^{*2} H. Ishiyama,^{*3} A. Kim,^{*12} D. H. Kim,^{*6} N. Kitamura,^{*2} S. Kubono,^{*2,*3} M. S. Kwag,^{*6} S. Michimasa,^{*2} M. Mihara,^{*3,*13} H. Miyatake,^{*11} S. Ota,^{*2} R. G. Pizzone,^{*7} H. Shimizu,^{*2,*3} N. K. Uyen,^{*6} R. Wakabayashi,^{*3,*13}

Y. X. Watanabe,^{*11} O. Wieland,^{*4} H. Yamaguchi,^{*2} L. Yang,^{*2} N. Zhang,^{*2,*14} and Z. C. Zhang^{*14}

The ⁸Li(α, n)¹¹B reaction is considered to be the key reaction in the inhomogeneous Big Bang and type-II supernova nucleosyntheses, and we have been providing cross-section data¹⁻⁷⁾ on this reaction for more than two decades. The previous results¹⁻⁷⁾ are summarized in Fig. 1, in which the Gamow-peak widths are also drawn. The energy regions of $T_9 = 1$ and 2 are important for the Big Bang and supernova nucleosyntheses, respectively.

As shown in Fig. 1, the cross-sections obtained by the previous experiments have large differences around $E_{\rm cm} = 1.0$ MeV. The previous experiments were performed by two different methods: inclusive and exclusive. The former detected either ${}^{11}B^{1,2)}$ or neutron^{4,6)} and the latter^{3,5,7}) detected both ¹¹B and neutron by measuring their kinetic energies and angles. The crosssections of the former results are five times larger than the latter ones systematically. We could estimate that the sources of the discrepancies might originate from the experimental methods, but we have no experimental fact to determine them. To solve this problem, we designed a new *inclusive* measurement, in which we measured the γ -rays emitted from ¹¹B*s. The previous experiment did not measure the γ -rays. Therefore this experiment will provide new data to understand the ⁸Li $(\alpha, n)^{11}$ B reaction. Considering that the expected γ -ray energies are between 2 and 8 MeV, we selected a large volume $3.5^{\circ} \times 8^{\circ}$ LaBr₃:Ce detector⁸) provided by INFN Milano. We also installed plastic and ⁶Li-

- $^{\ast 4}~$ Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN) Sezione di Milano
- $^{\ast 5}$ Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università degli Studi di Milano
- ^{*6} Department of Physics, Sungkyunkwan University
- *7 Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN) Laboratori Nazionali del Sud (LNS)
- $^{\ast 8}$ $\,$ Dipartimento di Fisica ed Astronomia, Università di Catania
- *9 Department of Physics and Astronomy, Seoul National University
- $^{\ast 10}$ Research Center for Nuclear Physics (RCNP), Osaka University
- *¹¹ Wako Nuclear Science Center (WNSC), Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies (IPNS), High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK)
- *12 Department of Physics, Ewha Womans University
- $^{\ast 13}$ Department of Physics, Osaka University
- *¹⁴ Institute of Modern Physics (IMP), Chinese Academy of Science (CAS)



Fig. 1. Excitation functions of ${}^{8}\text{Li}(\alpha, n)^{11}\text{B}$ reaction.

glass scintillators to detect neutrons by covering wide energy ranges between a few ten keV to 10 MeV.

This experiment was performed at CRIB in September 2018. We placed a gas-target cell at F3, in which ⁴He gas was filled at a pressure of 1.0 atm. The ⁸Li beam, whose intensity was typically 300 kHz, was produced by the ⁷Li(d, p)⁸Li reaction. The primary ⁷Li beam had an energy of 6.0 MeV/nucleon and an intensity of 250 particle nA. The D₂-gas production target had a thickness of 1.9 mg/cm². The energies of ⁸Li particles measured inside the ⁴He-gas target were between $E_{\rm cm} = 0.9$ and 1.9 MeV.

We successfully obtained data with sufficient statistics. Although we faced difficulties in data analysis owing to the large background originating from thermal neutrons, we could identify the γ -rays emitted from ¹¹B*s. We will further present the results to solve the controversial discrepancies.

References

- 1) R. N. Boyd et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1283 (1992).
- 2) X. Gu *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **343**, 31 (1995).
- 3) Y. Mizoi et al., Phys. Rev. C 62, 065801 (2000).
- 4) S. Cherubini et al., Eur. Phys. J. 20, 355 (2004).
- 5) H. Ishiyama et al., Phys. Lett. B 640, 82 (2006).
- 6) M. La Cognata et al., Phys. Lett. B 664, 157 (2008).
- 7) S. K. Das et al., Phys. Rev. C 95, 055805 (2017).
- A. Gaiz *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 729, 910 (2013).

^{*1} Center for Physics and Mathematics, Institute for Liberal Arts and Sciences, Osaka Electro-Communication University

^{*&}lt;sup>2</sup> Center for Nuclear Study, the University of Tokyo

^{*&}lt;sup>3</sup> RIKEN Nishina Center