# Single-neutron knockout from ${ }^{20} \mathrm{C}$ and the structure of ${ }^{19} \mathrm{C}^{\dagger}$ 
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The unbound states of ${ }^{19} \mathrm{C}$ have been investigated using the one-neutron knockout reaction. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{C}$ has a well established $1 n$ halo structure with a weakly bound $s$-wave neutron. The almost degenerate $0 d_{5 / 2}$ and $1 s_{1 / 2}$ orbitals are expected to govern the low-lying level structure of ${ }^{19} \mathrm{C}$, comprising $1 / 2^{+}, 3 / 2^{+}$, and $5 / 2^{+}$ states. ${ }^{1)}$ Theoretically, while most shell models suggest that these states are closely located below 1 MeV , their ordering has remained uncertain. Experimentally, a few studies have reported the low-lying states including $3 / 2_{1}^{+}$and $5 / 2_{1}^{+}$. There is an argument of the bound nature of $5 / 2_{1}^{+}$provided by recent measurements. ${ }^{2)}$
The ${ }^{20} \mathrm{C}$ beam of $280 \mathrm{MeV} /$ nucleon at midtarget was produced from BigRIPS with using a $345 \mathrm{MeV} /$ nucleon ${ }^{48} \mathrm{Ca}$ primary beam ( $\sim 100 \mathrm{pnA}$ ). The secondary beam impinged on a secondary carbon target $\left(1.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ in front of the SAMURAI spectrometer to produce ${ }^{19} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{3)}$ The decay products, including ${ }^{18} \mathrm{C}$ and a neutron, were detected using SAMURAI and NEBULA neutron array. Note that the measurement was a part of the first experimental campaign using SAMURAI to study the light neutron-rich nuclei. ${ }^{4)}$

Figures 1 show the relative energy ( $E_{\text {rel }}$ ) spectrum for the ${ }^{18} \mathrm{C}+n$ system containing a narrow threshold resonance and two peaks at higher energies. The positions were determined to be at $0.036(1), 0.84(4)$, and $2.31(3) \mathrm{MeV}$ by fitting analysis with R-matrix lineshapes convoluted with the experimental resolution. The longitudinal momentum distributions for each resonance show clear $\ell$ characters compared with Glauber model calculation. ${ }^{5)}$ Such results allow the spin-parity assignment of $5 / 2_{1}^{+}$and $1 / 2_{1}^{-}$for the levels
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Fig. 1. Relative energy spectrum for the ${ }^{18} \mathrm{C}+n$ system up to (a) 0.5 MeV and (b) 5 MeV . The solid (green), dashed (red), and dot-dashed (blue) curves represent the lineshapes of the results of the fit, individual resonances, and background, respectively.
at $E_{x}=0.62(9)$ and $2.89(10) \mathrm{MeV}$ with $S_{n}=0.58(9)$ MeV . Spectroscopic factors were also found to agree with the shell-model calculations. The valence neutron configuration of the ${ }^{20} \mathrm{C}_{\text {g.s. }}$ is thus expected to have a significant $0 d_{5 / 2}^{2}$ contribution together with the known $1 s_{1 / 2}^{2}$ component. The level scheme of ${ }^{19} \mathrm{C}$ is well described by the shell model with YSOX interaction based on the monopole-based universal interaction. ${ }^{6)}$
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