Beta-delayed proton spectrum from the $T_z = -2$ nucleus ⁶⁴Se at **BigRIPS**

P. Aguilera,^{*1} F. Molina,^{*1} B. Rubio,^{*2} J. Agramunt,^{*2} A. Algora,^{*2} V. Guadilla,^{*2} A. Montaner-Piza,^{*2}
A. I. Morales,^{*2} S. E. A. Orrigo,^{*2} B. Blank,^{*3} P. Ascher,^{*3} M. Gerbaux,^{*3} T. Goigoux,^{*3} J. Giovinazzo,^{*3}
S. Grévy,^{*3} T. Kurtukian Nieto,^{*3} C. Magron,^{*3} D. Nishimura,^{*4} J. Chiba,^{*4} H. Oikawa,^{*4} Y. Takei,^{*4} S.Yagi,^{*4}

D. S. Ahn,^{*5} P. Doornenbal,^{*5} N. Fukuda,^{*5} N. Inabe,^{*5} G. Kiss,^{*5} T. Kubo,^{*5} S. Kubono,^{*5} S. Nishimura,^{*5} Y. Shimizu,^{*5} C. Sidong,^{*5} P. A. Söderström,^{*5} T. Sumikama,^{*5} H. Suzuki,^{*5} H. Takeda,^{*5} P. Vi,^{*5} J. Wu,^{*5} Y. Fujita,^{*6} M. Tanaka,^{*6} W. Gelletly,^{*2,*7} F. Diel,^{*8} D. Lubos,^{*9} G. de Angelis,^{*10} D. Napoli,^{*10} C. Borcea,^{*11} A. Boso, ^{*12} R. B. Cakirli, ^{*13} E. Ganioglu, ^{*13} G. de France, ^{*14} and S. Go^{*15}

Spin-isospin excitations can be studied by β -decay and charge exchange reactions in mirror nuclei, shedding light on mirror symmetry. Hence we can compare our results on the β decay of proton-rich nuclei with the results of charge exchange experiments when appropriate targets for the mirror nuclei are available¹). Accordingly we have performed experiments at GSI and GANIL to study $T_z = -1^{(2)}$ and $T_z = -2^{(3,4)}$ nuclei respectively where it became clear that the study of heavier, more exotic systems demands beam intensities available only at the RIKEN RIBF.

We have performed an experiment using the fragmentation of a 345-MeV/nucleon 78 Kr beam with a typical intensity of 200 pnA on a Be target. The fragments were separated in flight using the BigRIPS separator and implanted in three WAS3ABi double-sided Si strip detectors (60 mm \times 40 mm \times 1 mm, 60 horizontal and 40 vertical strips). The implantation setup was surrounded by the EUROBALL-RIKEN Cluster Array (EURICA). The experiment is described in detail in a previous report. $^{5)}$

Here we present the first experimental observation of the beta-delayed protons in the decay of the $T_z = -2$ ⁶⁴Se nucleus. The spectrum was constructed using time correlations between ⁶⁴Se implantations and all β signals within a single pixel, defined as the crossing of one X and one Y strip. Fig. 1 shows the β -delayed proton spectrum of ⁶⁴Se. The proton energies were obtained by fitting the previously known β -delayed proton response function in DSSSD detectors, detailed in Ref.⁴⁾, consisting of a Gaussian function plus an expo-

- *3 Centre d'Etudes Nucléaires de Bordeaux-Gradignan *4
- Department of Physics, Tokyo City University

- *6 Department of Physics, Osaka University
- *7Department of Physics, Surrey University
- *8 Institute of Nuclear Physics, Universität zu Köln
- *9 Physics Department E-12, Technische Universität München $^{\ast 10}\,$ Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Laboratorio Nazionale di Legnaro
- *11 National Institute for Physics and Nuclear Engineering, IFIN-HH
- $^{\ast 12}$ Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Padova
- $^{\ast 13}$ Department of Physics, Istanbul University
- *¹⁴ Grand Accélérateur National d'Ions Lourds
- *¹⁵ Department of Physics, Tennessee University



Fig. 1. β -delayed proton spectrum of ⁶⁴Se. The dashed blue lines represent the individual proton response functions. The numbers above the proton peaks indicate the proton energy after a shift correction has been applied (see text).

nential tail. The WAS3ABi DSSSD detectors were calibrated using an external electron conversion in a ²⁰⁷Bi source; thus proton energy shifts are expected. These energy shifts were compared and recalibrated using the ⁵⁷Zn and ⁶¹Ge beta-delayed proton spectra obtained in this experiment and constructed in the same manner as the 64 Se beta-delayed proton spectrum. The 57 Zn and ⁶¹Ge proton energies are taken from Ref.⁶⁾. The uncertainties in the proton energies shown in Fig. 1 originate from the fit of the response function for each proton peak as well as the systematic shift of the proton energies compared with those reported in the literature.⁶⁾

References

- 1) Y. Fujita, B. Rubio, W. Gelletly, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **66**, 549 (2011).
- 2) F. Molina et al., Phys. Rev. C 91, 014301 (2015).
- 3) S. E. A. Orrigo et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 222501 (2014).
- 4) S. E. A. Orrigo et al., Phys. Rev. C 93, 044336 (2016).
- 5) B. Rubio et al., RIKEN Accel. Prog. Rep. Vol. 49 27 (2015).
- 6) B. Blank et al.: Eur. Phys. J. A **31**, 267 (2007).

^{*1} Chilean Nuclear Energy Commision

^{*2} IFIC, CSIC-Universidad de Valencia

^{*5} **RIKEN** Nishina Center